UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,315	02/27/2004	Jason T. Griffin	13210-18	4333	
1059 BERESKIN AN	7590 02/08/200 ND PARR	8	EXAMINER		
40 KING STREET WEST			KEATON, SHERROD L		
BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			2174		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/787,315	GRIFFIN, JASOI	N T.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sherrod Keaton	2174				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Sherrod Keaton</u> .	(3) <u>Tony Orsi (Applicants F</u>	<u>Representative)</u> .				
(2) Sy Luu (primary examiner).	(4)					
Date of Interview: 29 January 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	<b>;</b> ]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Chua (2004/0183833).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the claim language of claim 1 in view of Chua and further clarification would be helpful in distingushing the overlapping feature. Potential USC 112 issues were also discussed regarding the contradicting claim language regarding the letters and their associated areas.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/SY D. LUU/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 21 Examiner's signature, if requi					